10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In re

2	Tomas A. Ortiz, SBN 188873
	tortiz@garrett-tully.com
3	tortiz@garrett-tully.com GARRETT & TULLY, P.C.
	225 S. Lake Ave., Suite 1400
4	Pasadena, California 91101-4869
	Telephone: (626) 577-9500
5	Telephone: (626) 577-9500 Facsimile: (626) 577-0813
6	Attorneys for Defendant Deutsche Bank
_	National Trust Company, as Trustee for
7	Alliance Bancorp Mortgage Backed Pass Through Certificate Series 2007-OA1
	Through Certificate Series 2007-OA1
8	VICENT

# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FERNANDO DIVISION

STEVEN MARK ROSENBERG,
Debtor.
STEVEN MARK ROSENBERG,
Plaintiff,
VS.
ALLIANCE BANCORP, INC (Estate), MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC., OCWEN LOAN SERVICING, ONE WEST BANK, DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY, AS TRUSTEE FOR ALLIANCE BANCORP MORTGAGE BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATE SERIES 2007-OA1 AND DOES 1 THROUGH 25, INCLUSIVE,
Defendants.

Case No. 1:17-bk-11748-VK Chapter 7 Adv No. 1:17-ap-01096-VK

AMENDED NOTICE OF MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF STEVEN MARK ROSENBERG PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 11 AND FEDERAL RULES OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE **RULE 9011: IN THE FORM OF** MONETARY SANCTIONS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$6,360.00 AGAINST PLAINTIFF STEVEN MARK ROSENBERG AND NON-MONETARY SANCTIONS IN THE STRIKING OF THE NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT

NEW Date: December 5, 2018 Time: 2:30 p.m. Crtrm: 301

5 6

8

9

7

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

202122

23 24

25 26

2728

## TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the motion by defendant Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as Trustee for Alliance Bancorp Mortgage Backed Pass-Through Certificate Series 2007-OA1 ("Deutsche Bank") for an order awarding both monetary and non-monetary sanctions against plaintiff Steven Mark Rosenberg ("Rosenberg") pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 11, and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, Rule 9011 (the "Motion") *originally* calendared for October 3, 2018, at 2:30 p.m., in Courtroom 301 of the above-entitled court, located at 21041 Burbank Blvd, Woodland Hills, California 91367 has now been *calendared* for hearing on *December 5, 2018, or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, at 2:30 p.m., in Courtroom 301 of the above-entitled court, located at 21041 Burbank Blvd, Woodland Hills, California 91367.* 

Again, the **new** hearing date, time and location is:

Date:

December 5, 2018

Time:

2:30 p.m.

Location:

Courtroom 301

Specifically, Deutsche Bank is moving for an order awarding (1) non-monetary sanctions in the form of the striking of Rosenberg's frivolous notice of motion and motion to alter or amend judgment; and (2) monetary sanctions in the amount of \$6,360.00 in favor of Deutsche Bank for Rosenberg filing and maintaining the frivolous notice of motion and motion to alter or amend judgment.

Rosenberg's motion to alter or amend judgment is frivolous. It is neither well-grounded in, nor warranted by, fact or existing law. Its sole purpose is to delay and obfuscate, stalling final resolution and freezing Deutsche Bank's rights and interests.

A motion to alter or amend judgment under FRCP Rule 59(e) must be brought only in those instances where (1) there is newly-discovered evidence; (2) there is an

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

intervening change in the controlling law; or (3) the court committed clear error or its initial decision was manifestly unjust.

Here, there is no new evidence. There is no new law. And, nothing in the motion remotely establishes that the court's decision was a result of "clear error" or "manifestly unjust." Rosenberg's motion is completely meritless. The motion makes arguments that (contrary to Rosenberg's position otherwise) were considered by the court and correctly rejected in its ruling. The motion also makes arguments that are unintelligible, irrelevant and premised entirely on misapplication of the law and misstatements of fact – including misstatements regarding this Court's own ruling. The motion is not only frivolous, but it appears to have been filed in bad faith for no legitimate purpose. At best, Rosenberg's motion is nothing more than an attempt by Rosenberg to reargue his opposition to the motion for judgment on the pleadings, but even under this "best light," it is an improper use of a Rule 59(e) motion. This motion must be struck and Rosenberg sanctioned.

Moreover, Rosenberg noticed and served his motion for hearing without calendaring or obtaining a hearing date. Counsel for Deutsche Bank advised Rosenberg of this on two separate occasions and advised Rosenberg that his notice and motion were defective and that no hearing had been set or calendared. Rosenberg has ignored Deutsche Bank, again, underscoring what clearly appears to be Rosenberg's motive for filing his motion – to delay and obfuscate.

FRCP Rule 11 sanctions are clearly warranted.

Rosenberg was served with this motion on August 15, 2018. (Declaration of Tomas A. Ortiz, para. 6.) Rosenberg was given and provided notice of the twenty-one (21) day "safe harbor" period by Deutsche Bank. (Ortiz Decl., para. 6.) On September 6, 2018, exactly twenty-one (21) days after being served with the sanction motion, Rosenberg filed a "declaration" in support of his motion to alter or amend the judgment. (Ortiz Decl., para. 7.) Rosenberg's eleventh hour filing is nothing more than a reiteration of his flawed and frivolous arguments in the form of declaration and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

15

16

19

18

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

the suggestion that he has documented proof of his attempts to calendar the motion
for hearing - although he does not attach nor specify what that documented proof is
or when those attempts were made; nor does he explain why it took him months to
address this issue or otherwise respond to Deutsche Bank's attempts to get him to
calendar his motion.

This motion is made pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 11, and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, Rule 9011, and is based on this notice, the accompanying memorandum of points and authorities, the declaration of Tomas A. Ortiz, the request for judicial notice, and exhibits thereto, the pleadings and papers on file in this matter, and any such other and further evidence and arguments as may hereafter be adduced.

Pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 9013-1(c)(2), Deutsche Bank hereby provides notice to Rosenberg that Local Bankruptcy Rule 9013-1(f) requires a written response to be filed and served at least fourteen (14) days before the hearing on this motion.

DATED: September 13, 2018

GARRETT & TULLY, P.C. Robert Garrett Tomas A. Ortiz

/s/ Tomas A. Ortiz

#### TOMAS A. ORTIZ

Attorneys for Defendant Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as Trustee for Alliance Bancorp Mortgage Backed Pass-Through Certificate Series 2007-OA1

### PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is: 225 S. Lake Avenue, Suite 1400 Pasadena, CA 91101

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled (specify): AMENDED NOTICE OF MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF STEVEN MARK ROSENBERG PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 11 AND FEDERAL RULES OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE RULE 9011: IN THE FORM OF MONETARY SANCTIONS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$6,360.00 AGAINST PLAINTIFF STEVEN MARK ROSENBERG AND NON-MONETARY SANCTIONS IN THE STRIKING OF THE NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:

1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On (date) 9/14/18, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:

Amy L. Goldman (TR) marisol.jaramillo@lewisbribois.com; AGoldman@iq7technology.com; ustpregion16.wh.ecf@usdoj.gov; Marvin Adviento, Lukasz I. Wozniak, Def. Alliance Bancorp, Inc, Deutsche Bank Natl. Trust Co. as Trustee for Alliance Bancorp Mortgage Backed Pass-Through Certificate Series 2007-OAO1, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems; Ocwen LLC; madivento@wrightlegal.net; mjohnson@wrightlegal.net; Lwozniak@wrightlegal.net

#### 2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL:

On (date) 9/14/18, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

Steven Mark Rosenberg 106-1/2 Judge John Aiso St., #225 Los Angeles, CA 90012 U.S. Trustee (SV) 915 Wilshire Blvd, Ste 1850 Los Angeles, CA 90017

3. <u>SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (state method for each person or entity served)</u>: Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on (*date*) 9/14/18, I served the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge <u>will be completed</u> no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

#### **VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS**

The Honorable Victoria S. Kaufman United States Bankruptcy Court - Central District of California 21041 Burbank Blvd, Suite 354 / Courtroom 301 Woodland Hills, CA 91367

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

September 14, 2018 Delorise Cameron /s/ Delorise Cameron

Date Printed Name Signature